

9/11 WORLD TRADE CENTER? *What Really Happened?*

Failure of Emergency Standard Operating Procedures!

Fifteen minutes (8:14am) after leaving Boston, American Airlines Flight 11 (AA11) failed to respond to FAA ground control. Six minutes (8:22am) later and well off-course, a flight attendant reported the aircraft had been hijacked. In seven minutes (8:28am), the plane was heading to New York City (NYC), where it crashed into World Trade Center North (WTC-1) at 8:46am. Impact occurred 32 minutes after AA11 had been presumed hijacked and 25 minutes after the plane was known to have definitely been hijacked.

If standard Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) operating procedures been followed, AA11 would have been intercepted by F-15 fighter jets within 10 minutes and no later than 8:30am. If FAA regulations been followed, the flight controller would have implemented emergency procedures at 8:14am when radio contact had been lost. The National Military Command Center (NMCC) and North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) would have immediately “scrambled” from the nearest airport.

F-15s should have intercepted AA11 sixteen minutes before it crashed into WTC-1. Normally when a plane goes off-course by even 2 miles, there is an “emergency”. Upon interception the plane would have submitted to being escorted or been shot down. Interception of off-course aircraft occurs on average 100 times every year. On September 11, 2001, AA11 was not intercepted. Why?

According to the official story, at 8:46, when WTC-1 was hit, a full six minutes after notification, two F-15s were “scrambled” from

remote locations and were still 70 miles away when WTC-2 was hit at 9:03am. Normally, F-15s can go from “scramble” to 2,900 feet in 2.5 minutes and then can fly at 1,850 nmph (nautical miles per hour) and should have intercepted AA11 by 8:24 — at the very least by 8:30, a full 16 minutes before striking WTC-1.

With the A11 hijacking in already in progress, American Airlines Flight 175 (AA175) left Boston at 8:14am. Contact was lost at 8:42am as it went off-course. NORAD was notified at 8:43am, three minutes before A11 hit WTC-1. Like AA11, AA175 was not intercepted and it impacted WTC South (WTC-2) at 9:03am. During the 17 minutes between crashes, the people in WTC-2 were repeatedly — right up to the last few minutes — told not to evacuate the building. If standard operating procedures been followed this second plane should have been intercepted or shot down by 8:53am.

There is no credible explanation for NORAD's late response. Other official explanations were that no jets were “scrambled” for a full hour (only after the Pentagon was hit). Why was neither FAA nor NORAD held responsible for their failure to respond to the A11 and A175 hijackings on 9-11? Were they just following orders to “stand-down”? Who ensured that standard procedures for emergency response were not followed on September 11, 2001?



N O R A D



F-15 FIGHTER JET

Inexplicable Explosive Collapse of WTC Twin Towers!

WTC-1 was hit at 8:46am and collapsed at 10:28am (1 hour and 45 minutes later). WTC-2 was hit at 9:03am and collapsed at 9:59 (56 minutes later). Why did WTC-2 collapse twenty-nine minutes sooner than WTC-1?

Jet fuel is capable of igniting fires at a maximum of 1,600-1,700°F. To melt steel, temperatures of 2,770°F are required. That fires from jet fuel could have ignited fires capable of collapsing WTC buildings is

impossible according to science. WTC fires were not sufficiently hot, widespread and burning long-enough to have been capable of collapsing these buildings. The fire in WTC-2 was actually much smaller than the WTC-1 fire and yet WTC-2 was the first to collapse. Prior to 9-11 a steel-framed building had never before collapsed solely due to fire.

According to Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the

towers were “complex and substantially more redundant than typical.” The quality of the steel in the towers exceeded standard requirements. Within seconds of impact both towers were stable.

No official explanation of the total collapse of WTC towers is credible. Even if the “pancake” theory were true — even if damage from impact and fire on the upper floors were believable




9:59AM THE LEVELING OF WORLD TRADE CENTER SOUTH (WTC-2)

as causes for the collapse of the towers – the central steel columns of their lowest 20-30 floors would have remained standing.

The only viable alternative explanation is that WTC-2 and WTC-1 were collapsed by controlled demolition explosives placed throughout the buildings prior to the hijackings. Such a controlled demolition is the only explanation for the shattering of steel columns at various levels throughout the towers and the destruction of their steel columns at bedrock.

Controlled demolition also explains the sequence of the collapses. The smaller fires in WTC-2 were dying down earlier than those in WTC-1. Demolition of WTC-2 had to occur 29 minutes sooner than WTC-1 in order to bolster the cover-up story of collapse due to fire. FEMA reported in May 2002 that the “sequence of events leading to the collapse of each tower could not be definitively determined.” But FEMA did not challenge the official story.

Further evidence pointing to a controlled demolition is the reduction of over 100,000 tons of concrete in each tower to fine dust and powder. Neither fire nor the collapse itself could have caused the powderization of that much concrete. When the towers collapsed, they did not “pancake” but actually exploded, with powder ejected horizontally, creating



10:28AM THE LEVELING OF WORLD TRADE CENTER NORTH (WTC-1)

clouds of dust three-times the width of the towers themselves. Only explosives are capable of powderizing concrete and propelling chunks of debris 150+ feet outward. Molten steel in the tower sub-basements also supports evidence of explosive demolition.

There is also seismic evidence for a controlled collapse pointing to detonations occurring first on the higher and then lower floors reported 21 miles away by Columbia University Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory.

Why was debris and steel, including all the columns, beams and trusses, removed so quickly and sold to foreign countries for recycling? Evidence so swiftly disappeared that even according to the *New York Times*, “definitive answers will never be known.” Such removal and destruction of evidence would normally be considered obstruction of justice in the investigation of even an ordinary crime scene!

Amazing Implosive Collapse of World Trade Center 7!



5:20PM THE LEVELING OF WTC-7

The collapse of the 47-story World Trade Center Building Number Seven (WTC-7) is generally ignored because the usual-suspect stories cannot explain away its destruction. It was 355-feet from WTC-1 and even further from WTC-2. It was not struck by a plane. Structural damage from the planes and their fuel was not enough to cause the two towers to collapse. How could these planes have caused the collapse of WTC-7 at 5:20pm?

Only small fires on the 7th and 12th floors resulted from cross-over

debris. For unknown reasons the sprinkler system failed. Even had there been raging fires, why did WTC-7 collapse when buildings with much larger fires – WTC-4, WTC-5 and WTC-6 –did not collapse? WTC-7 would have to be the very first steel-framed building in history to collapse solely due to damage from fire!

There has actually been no official explanation and FEMA reported that even with diesel fuel stored on the premises of WTC-7, the hypothesis that its ignition could have resulted in WTC-7's collapse “has only a low probability of occurrence.”

The evidence again points to a controlled demolition. But in this case, the collapse of WTC-7 began at the base of the building and the powderized concrete remained at ground-level. The interior collapsed first and the outside fell inward. WTC-7 steel and other evidence was also quickly removed without even the excuse of a search for survivors because WTC-7 had supposedly been evacuated at 9:00am. The 23rd floor of WTC-7 was a \$15-million-dollar bunkerized Emergency Command Center supposedly abandoned on 9-11 because it was “hit”. If the officially shrugged-off WTC-7 collapse was in fact a controlled demolition, American citizens are not unreasonable in their demand for a re-opening of the investigation to examine the still unanswered questions about WTC-1, WTC-2, WTC-7 and other crimes committed on September 11, 2001.



WTC-7 TIDY RUBBLE SITE

STOP THE 9/11 COVER-UP

9/11 Truth
<http://www.911truth.org/>

9-11 Visibility Project
<http://www.septembereleventh.org/>

NY 9/11 Truth
<http://www.ny911truth.org/>

Citizens for Legitimate Government
http://www.legitgov.org/9_1_1_oddities.html

Mariani vs. Bush
<http://www.911forthetruth.com/>



Family Steering Committee
<http://www.911independentcommission.org/>

International Citizens Inquiry into 911
<http://www.911inquiry.org/>

9-11 Research
<http://911research.wtc7.net/>

WTC7.net
<http://www.wtc7.net/>

Center for Cooperative Research
<http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/>

Half of New Yorkers Believe U.S. Leaders Had Foreknowledge of Impending 9/11 Attacks and "Consciously Failed" To Act; 66% Call For New Probe of Unanswered Questions by Congress or New York's Attorney General, New Zogby International Poll Reveals


(August 30, 2004 -- Utica, NY) On the eve of a Republican National Convention invoking 9/11 symbols, sound bytes and imagery, half (49.3%) of New York City residents and 41% of New York citizens overall say that some of our leaders "knew in advance that attacks were planned on or around September 11, 2001, and that they consciously failed to act," according to the poll conducted by Zogby International. The poll of New York residents was conducted from Tuesday August 24 through Thursday August 26, 2004. Overall results have a margin of sampling error of +/-3.5.

(DOWNLOAD COMPLETE BREAKDOWN OF RESULTS HERE: <http://www.911truth.org/dossier/zogby911.pdf>)


The poll is the first of its kind conducted in America that surveys attitudes regarding US government complicity in the 9/11 tragedy. Despite the acute legal and political implications of this accusation, nearly 30% of registered Republicans and over 38% of those who described themselves as "very conservative" supported the claim. The charge found very high support among adults under 30 (62.8%), African-Americans (62.5%), Hispanics (60.1%), Asians (59.4%), and "Born Again" Evangelical Christians (47.9%).

Less than two in five (36%) believe that the 9/11 Commission had "answered all the important questions about what actually happened on September 11th," and two in three (66%) New Yorkers (and 56.2% overall) called for another full investigation of the "still unanswered questions" by Congress or Elliot Spitzer, New York's Attorney General. Self-identified "very liberal" New Yorkers supported a new inquiry by a margin of three to one, but so did half (53%) of "very conservative" citizens across the state. The call for a deeper probe was especially strong from Hispanics (75.6%), African-Americans (75.3%) citizens with income from \$15-25K (74.3%), women (62%) and Evangelicals (59.9%).


U.S. Congress or New York Attorney General should re-open the 9/11 investigation to examine the still unanswered questions.




Our leaders "deliberately misled" us before the war.




The 9/11 Commission answered all the important questions.



The inexplicable and largely unreported collapse of the third WTC skyscraper: what was its number?



The question of complicity: some US government leaders knew in advance that attacks were planned and failed to take action.



W. David Kubiak, executive director of 911truth.org, the group that commissioned the poll, expressed genuine surprise that New Yorkers' belief in the administration's complicity is as high or higher than that seen overseas. "We're familiar with high levels of 9/11 skepticism abroad where there has been open debate of the evidence for US government complicity. On May 26th the Toronto Star reported a national poll showing that 63% of Canadians are also convinced US leaders had 'prior knowledge' of the attacks yet declined to act. There was no US coverage of this startling poll or the facts supporting the Canadians' conclusions, and there has been virtually no debate on the victim families' scores of still unanswered questions. I think these numbers show that most New Yorkers are now fed up with the silence, and that politicians trying to exploit 9/11 do so at their peril. The 9/11 case is not closed and New York's questions are not going away."

Nicholas Levis of [NY 9/11 Truth](http://NY911Truth.org), an advisor on the poll, agrees, "The 9/11 Commission gave us a plenty of 'recommendations', but far more plentiful were the discrepancies, gaps and omissions in their supposedly 'final' report. How can proposals based on such deficient findings ever make us safe? We think these poll numbers are basically saying, 'Wait just a minute. What about the scores of still outstanding questions? What about the unexplained collapses of WTC 7, our air defenses, official accountability, the chain of command on 9/11, the anthrax, insider trading & FBI field probes? There's so much more to this story that we need to know about.' When such a huge majority of New Yorkers want a new investigation, it will be interesting to see how quickly Attorney General Spitzer and our legislators respond." (Contact: nicholas@911truth.org, Tel. 917.295.4929)

SCOPE: The poll covered five areas of related interest: 1) Iraq--do New Yorkers think that our leaders "deliberately misled" us before the war (51.2% do); 2) the 9/11 Commission--did it answer all the "important questions" (only 36% said yes); 3) the inexplicable and largely unreported collapse of the third WTC skyscraper on 9/11--what was its number (28% of NYC area residents knew); 4) the question on complicity; and 5) how many wanted a new 9/11 probe. All inquiries about questions, responses and demographics should be directed to Zogby International.

SPONSOR: 911truth.org is a coalition of researchers, journalists and victim family members working to expose and resolve the hundreds of critical questions still swirling around 9/11, especially the nearly 400 questions that the Family Steering Committee filed with the 9/11 Commission which they fought to create. Initially welcomed by the commissioners as a "road map" for their inquiry, these queries cut to the heart of 9/11 crimes and accountability. Specifically, they raised the central issues of motive, means and cui bono (who profited?). But the Commission ignored the majority of these questions, opting only to explore system failures, miscommunications and incompetence. The victim families' most incisive issues remain unaddressed to this day. The Zogby International poll was also cosponsored by Walden Three (walden3.org) and 9/11 Citizens Watch (911citizenswatch.org), a watchdog group which has monitored the Commission since its inception and will release its findings, "The 9/11 Omission Report," in several weeks.

On September 9th and 11th, 911Truth.org is co-sponsoring two large successive inquiries in New York, a preliminary 9/11 Citizens Commission hearing and "Confronting the Evidence: 9/11 and the Search for Truth," a research-focused evidentiary forum. These inquiries will examine many of the 9/11 Commission shunned questions and discuss preparation of a probable cause complaint demanding a grand jury and criminal investigation from the New York Attorney General. Possible charges range from criminal negligence and gross dereliction of duty to foreknowledge, complicity and subsequent obstruction of justice. For details and developments, see www.911truth.org. For press info, contact Kyle Hence 212-243-7787 kylehence@earthlink.net

Zogby International conducted interviews of 808 adults chosen at random in New York State. All calls were made from Zogby International headquarters in Utica, N.Y., from August 24, 2004 through August 26, 2004. The margin of error is +/- 3.5 percentage points. Slight weights were added to region, party, age, race, religion, and gender to more accurately reflect the population. Margins of error are higher in sub-groups.